

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/963,909	09/26/2001	Christian Lorenz	TRW(ASG)5930	9434
26294	7590 11/15/2005		EXAMINER	
TAROLLI, SUNDHEIM, COVELL & TUMMINO L.L.P.			KIM, CHONG HWA	
526 SUPERIOR AVENUE, SUITE 1111 CLEVEVLAND, OH 44114			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3682	

DATE MAILED: 11/15/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
09/963,909	LORENZ, CHRISTIAN	LORENZ, CHRISTIAN		
Examiner	Art Unit			
Chong H. Kim	3682			

	Chong H. Kim	3682	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>17 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.	
1.   The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, at tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailir (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH 06.07(f).	ng date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply oric r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropr	ate extension fee ce action; or (2) as
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	nsideration and/or search (see NC	, will <u>not</u> be entered b TE below);	ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in began appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1		jected claims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ampliant Amondment	(DTOL 224)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	lowable if submitted in a separate,		
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: 13 and 14. Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-4 and 15-17. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 5-12.		ill be entered and an e	explanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
3. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	lotice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ls to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER			
11.   The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowar	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).  13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper I	No(s)	
		ONG H. KIM ARY EXAMINER	<u> </u>
		, ,	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

## **Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)**

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The newly amended claims including the amended subject matter of the structure and the vibration decoupling means raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search.